

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

Orthopedics

Workgroup Members: Vineeta T. Swaroop, MD (Chair); Michael J. Conklin, MD; Shyam Kishan, MD; Samuel R. Rosenfeld, MD

We thank the work of the 2018 workgroup members: Michael J. Conklin, MD (Chair); Shyam Kishan, MD; Chanka B. Nanayakkara MBBS, FRACP, FAFRM; Samuel R. Rosenfeld, MD, FAAOS, FAOA

Introduction

Orthopedic problems and musculoskeletal deformities are common in patients with Spina Bifida. Proper orthopedic monitoring and management is essential to achieving the best functional outcomes in patients with Spina Bifida. The goal of orthopedic care is to prevent or correct deformities to optimize mobility, function, and independence. The orthopedic surgeon's role on the multidisciplinary treatment team is to help the patient and family develop realistic, individualized goals based on each patient's functional level of involvement, and to provide the necessary care to meet these goals.

Early ambulation is an important goal to consider for all patients with Spina Bifida, as the physiologic and developmental benefits of early ambulation have been established. Patients who stand or walk early in life, even if they become non-ambulatory later, have more independence in the home, fewer fractures and pressure sores, and were better able to transfer compared to patients who did not participate in an early ambulation program.¹ Lower limb deformities can be problematic and can affect function and gait. These can include contractures of the hip or knee or rotational deformities. Accurate identification of gait pathology is essential to maximize ambulatory function. Computerized gait analysis, when available, provides important quantitative information about movement patterns and has been used in patients with Spina Bifida since the late 1980s. The ability to accurately identify which deformities negatively impact function aids in the selection of surgical procedures to improve function.² It was shown recently that the addition of gait analysis data, compared to clinical examination and video analysis alone, led to a change in pathology identification for common gait problems in patients with MM as well as a change in surgical recommendations for 44% of patients.³ Data from computerized gait analysis has helped to focus surgical efforts on deformities now known to negatively influence function including hip and knee contractures,⁴⁻⁷ rotational deformities of the tibia and femur,⁸⁻¹⁰ and foot deformities.¹¹

The prevalence of spinal deformities including scoliosis and kyphosis is proportionate to the level of the neurologic lesion. Spinal deformities can be challenging to treat. Body casting that can be used in idiopathic early onset scoliosis may cause skin breakdown due to insensate skin. Bracing can be used for postural support, but there is limited data to support it. Surgical treatment carries high risks including infection, pseudarthrosis, and loss of mobility. Recent studies have questioned the effect of surgery on overall function and quality of life.¹²⁻¹⁶

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

Nevertheless, patients with early onset scoliosis or gibbus (kyphus) deformity present special challenges due to concerns about pulmonary function. Growing rod strategies such as spine-to-spine or rib-to-pelvis distraction are increasingly used for these patients, but the small size of the patient relative to the construct and poor soft tissue envelope remain challenges.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Further studies are needed to understand the risks and benefits of spinal surgery versus acceptance of the natural history of the spinal deformity.

Hip subluxation and dislocation are common due to muscle imbalance, particularly in the patient with mid-lumbar lesion. In previous times, hip reduction surgery, including bone procedures and muscle transfers were commonly performed. A recent study suggests that hip reduction surgery is of questionable benefit in myelomeningocele while computerized gait analysis shows that contracture, not subluxation, has a deleterious effect on ambulation.⁴ A recent study evaluated function in adulthood using various outcomes measures comparing three groups of patients: those with located hips, unilateral subluxation/dislocation, and bilateral subluxation/dislocation. The authors found no difference in any of the outcomes measures between groups and instead found outcomes correlated with neurological level of involvement and hip range of motion.²⁰ Therefore, the use of hip reduction surgery has waned in recent decades, with the possible exception of individuals with sacral functional level who can ambulate without an assistive device. For that reason, the present guidelines do not recommend routine surveillance of the hip or surgical treatment of hip subluxation/dislocation, although patients with a sacral lesion with unilateral dislocation could be considered an exception and must be treated on an individual basis.²¹⁻²³

The non-plantigrade foot is a frequent problem in patients with Spina Bifida. The feet typically manifest sensory impairments and consequently, skin breakdown can occur.²⁴ Orthoses such as ankle-foot orthoses (AFOs) can support the foot in patients with motor impairments, but some foot deformities may preclude bracing. A variety of orthopedic strategies, both operative and non-operative, can be used to treat foot deformities. These include stretching, bracing, serial casting, and surgery. Surgery can include tendon releases and resections, tendon transfers, joint capsular releases, osteotomies, and fusions. In general, the younger, less rigid foot may respond to soft tissue procedures while the older or more rigid foot may also require osteotomy. A classic dictum is that fusions should be avoided when possible as they render the foot more rigid which can increase the risk of skin breakdown (clinical consensus). Nevertheless, some deformities may be sufficiently severe as to require salvage procedures such as talectomy.

The proper timing for foot surgery is debatable, but a foot deformity that has become so severe as to be unbraceable in someone who still wants to pursue ambulation is certainly an appropriate indication. However, some surgeons may take a more proactive approach, performing tendon balancing surgery earlier in life to prevent bony deformity later. For example, a patient with an L4-level lesion with an unopposed anterior tibial tendon function will generally develop a calcaneus deformity. With time, weight bearing will be only on the calcaneus with no weight bearing through the forefoot. This can lead to calcaneal skin breakdown. Anterior tibial tendon transfer to the Achilles or merely anterior tibial tendon release done at an early age can improve or prevent this. If done later in life, a calcaneal osteotomy may be necessary.¹¹ Ultimately, the approach that is taken will be at the discretion of the surgeon and the family.

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

Outcomes

Primary

1. Prevent functionally limiting spinal deformity
2. Prevent functionally limiting lower extremity or foot deformity
3. Preserve maximal ambulatory capacity for each individual patient

Secondary

1. Promote maximal long-term independence and function
2. Avoid degenerative issues which could limit function in adulthood

Tertiary

1. Prevent fractures
2. Prevent skin breakdown

0-11 months

Clinical Questions

1. What are the consequences of early onset scoliosis, kyphosis and pulmonary insufficiency syndrome in patients with Spina Bifida?
2. Which foot deformities merit correction in the child 0-11 months old, and what is appropriate treatment?

Guidelines

1. Perform neonatal kyphectomy, if required to facilitate skin closure.^{17,25}
2. Orthopedic evaluations are recommended every three months in the first year of life. (clinical consensus)
3. Serial manipulation and casting or surgical release is recommended for clubfoot or congenital vertical talus deformities.²⁶⁻²⁸
4. Perform spine evaluations by conducting a physical exam. Obtain scoliosis radiographs if a spinal deformity is suspected and monitor the spine for the progression of the deformity. In children who have not achieved sitting balance, perform radiographs in a supine position. Once sitting balance is achieved, perform spinal radiographs in a sitting position. (clinical consensus)
5. Consider bracing or casting when there is a documented progression of scoliosis.²⁹

1-2 years 11 months

Clinical Questions

1. What is the proper timing for correction of rotational deformities of the femur and/or tibia?
2. Are twister cables useful for rotational deformities?
3. What is the role of bracing or Mehta casting for early onset scoliosis?
4. Should gibbus deformity be treated surgically?
5. Is rib-to-pelvis distraction rather than kyphectomy the optimum treatment for gibbus deformity?

Guidelines

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

1. Monitor the spine for development or progression of a deformity that may be due to a tethered cord or syrinx. Obtain anteroposterior and lateral scoliosis radiographs if a deformity is suspected on clinical exam. Perform radiographs in a sitting position if the patient is able to sit but not able to stand or in a standing position if the patient is able to stand. Repeat radiographs every one to two years if the deformity is present, depending on rate of progression. (clinical consensus)
2. Evaluate for neurologic changes or progression of scoliosis and discuss with neurosurgery specialists. (clinical consensus) (Neurosurgery Guidelines)
3. Initiate treatment for progressive early onset scoliosis that may involve casting²⁹ or bracing.
4. Consider tendon releases/transfers for unbalanced foot deformities such as the calcaneus foot³⁰ or equinovarus foot, if the foot is unbraceable, to facilitate orthotic management.
5. Consider twister cables for significant rotational deformities to facilitate ambulation until such time as surgical correction is appropriate.³¹
6. Surgical correction of rotational deformities of the tibia or femur is recommended only if they are limiting further motor development and causing difficulty with bracing. (clinical consensus)
7. Teach families about fractures and related precautions. (clinical consensus)

3-5 years 11 months

Clinical Questions

1. Is bracing effective for early onset, non-congenital scoliosis?
2. Is rib-pelvis distraction versus the spine-based growing rod construct the optimal treatment for progressive scoliosis?

Guidelines

1. Evaluate gait with careful attention to orthopedic deformities, such as hip and knee contractures, that render gait inefficient and preclude orthotic management. Utilize computerized gait analysis, when available, if considering surgical intervention for ambulatory patients.^{3,7,22}
2. Consider derotational osteotomy when rotational abnormality adversely impacts ambulation.³²
3. Consider treating foot deformities with stretching, casting, bracing, soft tissue release or tendon transfers to facilitate orthotic management. (clinical consensus)
4. Evaluate the spine clinically and obtain scoliosis radiographs every one to two years if a progressive spinal deformity is suspected. Perform radiographs in a sitting position in children who can sit but not stand and in a standing position in children who can stand. (clinical consensus)
5. Work with neurosurgery specialists to determine whether a neurogenic cause of scoliosis progression is present. (clinical consensus) (Neurosurgery Guidelines)
6. Consider bracing for progressive, non-congenital scoliosis in the 25- to 50-degree range. (clinical consensus)
7. It is recommended that surgical treatment of scoliosis be reserved for a progressive deformity that is unresponsive to non-operative management. For example, when there is progression of the scoliosis in spite of bracing and after a neurosurgical cause, such as a tethered cord, has been ruled out. It is also recommended that management with

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

- growing rod surgery and fusionless technique should include spinal cord monitoring in patients with distal neurologic function.³³
8. Consider surgical treatment of gibbus deformity for intractable skin breakdown or to free up the upper limbs for independent sitting.^{16,34}
 9. Consider plastic surgery consultation prior to spinal surgery for severe curves or kyphectomy. Options such as tissue expanders or multilayered flap closure may be needed to obtain adequate coverage of instrumentation and attempt to decrease risk of post-operative infection.³⁵
 10. Consider vitamin D supplementation and teach children and families about fractures and related deformities. (clinical consensus)

6-12 years 11 months

Clinical Questions

1. Is bracing effective for early onset, non-congenital scoliosis?
2. Is rib-pelvis distraction versus the spine-based growing rod construct the optimal treatment for progressive scoliosis?

Guidelines

1. Monitor gait, rotational deformities and foot position. (clinical consensus)
2. Consider correction of foot deformities to facilitate orthotic management with soft tissue release, tendon transfer and osteotomy, if necessary. With the goal of maintaining a supple, braceable foot to avoid issues with skin breakdown, fusion should be avoided if possible or used as a last resort when no other options exist for correction. (clinical consensus)
3. Consider correction of tibial and femoral rotational deformities when they are interfering with gait and precluding orthotic management.^{32,36}
4. Consider conducting computerized gait analysis, when available, in children with low lumbar or sacral level lesions who have gait abnormalities. This information will be helpful when making decisions regarding surgery or bracing.^{3,7,22}
5. Monitor for the development of scoliosis/kyphosis. (clinical consensus)
6. Obtain anteroposterior and lateral scoliosis radiographs every one to two years if deformity is suspected clinically. Do so more frequently in patients with progressive spinal deformity. Perform radiographs in a sitting position in those who can sit but not stand and in a standing position in patients who can stand. (clinical consensus)
7. It is recommended that surgical treatment of scoliosis be reserved for a progressive deformity that is unresponsive to non-operative management. An example is when the scoliosis has progressed in spite of bracing and after a neurosurgical cause, such as a tethered cord, has been ruled out. It is also recommended that management with growing rod surgery and fusionless technique should include spinal cord monitoring in children with distal neurologic function.³³ Growing rod surgery with sacral-pelvic fixation is effective in correcting deformity and achieving growth.³⁷
8. Consider surgical treatment of gibbus deformity for intractable skin breakdown or to free up the upper limbs for independent sitting.^{16,34} The current literature describes multiple techniques.³⁸⁻⁴⁰
9. Consider plastic surgery consultation prior to spinal surgery for severe curves or kyphectomy. Options such as tissue expanders or multilayered flap closure may be

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

needed to obtain adequate coverage of instrumentation and attempt to decrease risk of post-operative infection.³⁵

10. Consider vitamin D supplementation and teach children and families about fractures and related precautions. (clinical consensus)

13-17 years 11 months

Clinical Questions

1. What is the impact of scoliosis/kyphosis on gait, sitting balance, and upper limb function?
2. What is the relationship between spinal deformity and skin breakdown?
3. Which patients benefit from spinal deformity surgery?
4. How can spinal deformity surgery be safely accomplished?
5. In lumbar scoliosis, how high must the fusion extend?

Guidelines

1. Monitor for the development or progression of scoliosis clinically, with radiographs as necessary, if indicated by the physical exam. Perform radiographs in a sitting position in those who can sit but not stand and in a standing position in those who can stand. If the curve has progressed to an operative magnitude (50 degrees), discuss the risks and benefits of surgical treatment with the family. (clinical consensus)
2. Monitor for deterioration of gait and consider treatment of orthopedic deformities leading to deterioration such as hip and knee contracture or rotational deformities. Computerized gait analysis may be useful for decision-making in the case of children with low lumbar and sacral level lesions.^{3,7,22} When surgical treatment is required, it is preferred that a combined anterior and posterior approach be performed.²¹
3. Consider plastic surgery consultation prior to spinal surgery for severe curves or kyphectomy. Options such as tissue expanders or multilayered flap closure may be needed to obtain adequate coverage of instrumentation and attempt to decrease risk of post-operative infection.³⁵
4. Conduct a history and physical examination (with radiographs, if indicated) on an annual basis, unless greater frequency is indicated. (clinical consensus)
5. Consider vitamin D supplementation and teach children and families about fractures and related deformities. (clinical consensus)

18+ years

Clinical Questions

1. What is the optimal orthopedic transition plan?
2. What degenerative issues can be expected for specific levels of function (e.g., knee arthrosis for mid-lumbar lesions with valgus thrust gait pattern) and what treatments can mitigate against these problems (e.g., knee, ankle, and foot orthosis (KAFO) or crutches for the above example)?

Guidelines

1. Develop an orthopedic transition plan.⁴¹ (Mobility Guidelines)
2. Counsel the patient about potential orthopedic degenerative problems. Consider bracing across the knee, such as the use of a KAFO, for patients with coronal plane valgus knee

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

stress, or adding forearm crutches to decrease coronal and transverse plane trunk motion.^{9,42}

3. Counsel the patient about fractures and related precautions. (clinical consensus)

Research Gaps

1. What are the consequences of early onset scoliosis, kyphosis, and pulmonary insufficiency syndrome in patients with Spina Bifida?
2. Is bracing effective in treating developmental (non-congenital) scoliosis in individuals with myelomeningocele?
3. Is Mehta-casting effective in non-congenital early onset scoliosis in myelomeningocele?
4. What is the impact of scoliosis/kyphosis on gait, sitting balance, or upper limb function?
5. Should gibbus deformity be treated surgically? What is the optimal age and surgical procedure for repairing gibbus deformities?
6. What is the relationship between spinal deformity and skin breakdown?
7. Which patients benefit from spinal deformity surgery?
8. Which patients with scoliosis will benefit from sacral-pelvic instrumentation, or one-stage versus two-stage operation? An evidence-based review concluded that spine surgery, if performed, should be through both an anterior and posterior approach.¹³
9. How high must the fusion extend in patients with lumbar scoliosis?
10. What is the proper timing for correction of rotational deformities of the femur and/or tibia?
11. What is the relationship between specific foot deformities and the development of skin breakdown? Does foot deformity surgery alter the risk of skin breakdown?
12. Is there an ideal, specific orthopedic transition plan?
13. Are twister cables useful for rotational deformities?
14. Which foot deformities merit correction in the child 0-11 months old, and what is appropriate treatment?
15. What degenerative issues can be expected for specific levels of function (e.g., knee arthrosis for mid-lumbar lesions with valgus thrust gait pattern) and what treatments can mitigate against these problems (e.g., knee, ankle, and foot orthosis (KAFO) or crutches for the above example)?
16. What impact will intrauterine surgery to perform prenatal closure of Spina Bifida defect have on orthopedic outcomes and incidence of orthopedic deformities?
17. Which patients would benefit from bone health work-up and what patient factors should trigger bone health work-up?

References

1. Mazur JM, Shurtleff D, Menelaus M, Colliver J. Orthopedic management of high-level Spina Bifida. Early walking compared with early use of a wheelchair. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1989;71(1):56-61.
2. Duffy CM, Hill AE, Cosgrove AP, Corry IS, Mollan RA, Graham HK. Three-dimensional gait analysis in Spina Bifida. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 1996;16(6):786-791.

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

3. Mueske NM, Ounpuu S, Ryan DD, Healy BS, Thomson J, Choi P, et al. Impact of gait analysis on pathology identification and surgical recommendations in children with Spina Bifida. *Gait Posture*. 2019;67:128-132.
4. Gabrieli AP, Vankoski SJ, Dias LS, Milani C, Lourenco A, Filho JL, et al. Gait analysis in low lumbar myelomeningocele patients with unilateral hip dislocation or subluxation. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2003;23(3):330-334.
5. Moen T, Gryfakis N, Dias L, Lemke L. Crouched gait in myelomeningocele: a comparison between the degree of knee flexion contracture in the clinical examination and during gait. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2005;25(5):657-660.
6. Moen TC, Dias L, Swaroop VT, Gryfakis N, Kelp-Lenane C. Radical posterior capsulectomy improves sagittal knee motion in crouch gait. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2011;469(5):1286-1290.
7. Rao S, Dietz F, Yack HJ. Kinematics and kinetics during gait in symptomatic and asymptomatic limbs of children with myelomeningocele. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2012;32(1):106-112.
8. Dunteman RC, Vankoski SJ, Dias LS. Internal derotation osteotomy of the tibia: pre- and postoperative gait analysis in persons with high sacral myelomeningocele. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2000;20(5):623-628.
9. Lim R, Dias L, Vankoski S, Moore C, Marinello M, Sarwark J. Valgus knee stress in lumbosacral myelomeningocele: a gait-analysis evaluation. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 1998;18(4):428-433.
10. Vankoski SJ, Michaud S, Dias L. External tibial torsion and the effectiveness of the solid ankle-foot orthoses. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2000;20(3):349-355.
11. Park KB, Park HW, Joo SY, Kim HW. Surgical treatment of calcaneal deformity in a select group of patients with myelomeningocele. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2008;90(10):2149-2159.
12. Khoshbin A, Vivas L, Law PW, Stephens D, Davis AM, Howard A, et al. The long-term outcome of patients treated operatively and non-operatively for scoliosis deformity secondary to Spina Bifida. *Bone Joint J*. 2014;96-B(9):1244-1251.
13. Mercado E, Alman B, Wright JG. Does spinal fusion influence quality of life in neuromuscular scoliosis? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007;32(19 Suppl):S120-125.
14. Patel J, Walker JL, Talwalkar VR, Iwinski HJ, Milbrandt TA. Correlation of spine deformity, lung function, and seat pressure in Spina Bifida. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2011;469(5):1302-1307.
15. Sibinski M, Synder M, Higgs ZC, Kujawa J, Grzegorzewski A. Quality of life and functional disability in skeletally mature patients with myelomeningocele-related spinal deformity. *J Pediatr Orthop B*. 2013;22(2):106-109.
16. Petersen PA, Marcon RM, Letaif OB, Mello Santos MA, Oliveira RG, Passos de Barros Filho TE, et al. Does kyphectomy improve the quality of life of patients with myelomeningocele? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2020;478(1):104-111.
17. Smith JT. Bilateral rib-based distraction to the pelvis for the management of congenital gibbus deformity in the growing child with myelodysplasia. *Spine Deform*. 2016;4(1):70-77.

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

18. Smith JT, Novais E. Treatment of gibbus deformity associated with myelomeningocele in the young child with use of the vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib (VEPTR): a case report. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010;92(12):2211-2215.
19. Flynn JM, Emans JB, Smith JT, Betz RR, Deeney VF, Patel NM, et al. VEPTR to treat nonsyndromic congenital scoliosis: a multicenter, mid-term follow-up study. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2013;33(7):679-684.
20. Thompson RM, Foley J, Dias L, Swaroop VT. Hip status and long-term functional outcomes in Spina Bifida. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2018.
21. Wright JG. Hip and spine surgery is of questionable value in Spina Bifida: an evidence-based review. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2011;469(5):1258-1264.
22. Thomson JD, Segal LS. Orthopedic management of Spina Bifida. *Dev Disabil Res Rev.* 2010;16(1):96-103.
23. Swaroop VT, Dias LS. Strategies of hip management in myelomeningocele: to do or not to do. *Hip Int.* 2009;19 Suppl 6:S53-55.
24. Conklin MJ, Hopson B, Arynchyna A, Atchley T, Trapp C, Rocque BG. Skin breakdown of the feet in patients with Spina Bifida: analysis of risk factors. *Journal of pediatric rehabilitation medicine.* 2018;11(4):237-241.
25. Crawford AH, Strub WM, Lewis R, Gabriel KR, Billmire DA, Berger T, et al. Neonatal kyphectomy in the patient with myelomeningocele. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2003;28(3):260-266.
26. Arkin C, Ihnow S, Dias L, Swaroop VT. Midterm results of the Ponseti method for treatment of clubfoot in patients with Spina Bifida. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2018.
27. Chan Y, Selvaratnam V, Garg N. A comparison of the Dobbs method for correction of idiopathic and teratological congenital vertical talus. *J Child Orthop.* 2016;10(2):93-99.
28. Matar HE, Beirne P, Garg NK. Effectiveness of the Ponseti method for treating clubfoot associated with myelomeningocele: 3-9 years follow-up. *J Pediatr Orthop B.* 2017;26(2):133-136.
29. Sanders JO, D'Astous J, Fitzgerald M, Khoury JG, Kishan S, Sturm PF. Derotational casting for progressive infantile scoliosis. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2009;29(6):581-587.
30. Fucs PM, Svartman C, Santili C, De Assumpção RM, de Almeida Leite LF, Quialheiro LS, et al. Results in the treatment of paralytic calcaneus-valgus feet with the Westin technique. *International Orthopedics.* 2007;31(4):555-560.
31. Richards A, Morcos S, Rethlefsen S, Ryan D. The use of TheraTogs versus twister cables in the treatment of in-toeing during gait in a child with Spina Bifida. *Pediatric Physical Therapy: The Official Publication of the Section on Pediatrics of the American Physical Therapy Association.* 2012;24(4):321-326.
32. Mednick RE, Eller EB, Swaroop VT, Dias L. Outcomes of tibial derotational osteotomies performed in patients with myelodysplasia. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2015;35(7):721-724.
33. Jayaswal A, Kandwal P, Goswami A, Vijayaraghavan G, Jariyal A, Upendra BN, et al. Early onset scoliosis with intraspinal anomalies: management with growing rod. *Eur Spine J.* 2016;25(10):3301-3307.

Guidelines for the Care of People with Spina Bifida

34. Garg S, Oetgen M, Rathjen K, Richards BS. Kyphectomy improves sitting and skin problems in patients with myelomeningocele. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2011;469(5):1279-1285.
35. Bradko V, Castillo H, Conklin M, Fremion E, Rocque B, Hanson D, et al. Team approach: the management of adolescents and adults with scoliosis and Spina Bifida. *JBJS reviews*. 2022;10(3).
36. Thompson RM, Ihnow S, Dias L, Swaroop V. Tibial derotational osteotomies in two neuromuscular populations: comparing cerebral palsy with myelomeningocele. *J Child Orthop*. 2017;11(4):243-248.
37. Sponseller PD, Yang JS, Thompson GH, McCarthy RE, Emans JB, Skaggs DL, et al. Pelvic fixation of growing rods: comparison of constructs. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34(16):1706-1710.
38. Altiok H, Finlayson C, Hassani S, Sturm P. Kyphectomy in children with myelomeningocele. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2011;469(5):1272-1278.
39. Bas CE, Preminger J, Olgun ZD, Demirkiran G, Sponseller P, Yazici M, et al. Safety and efficacy of apical resection following growth-friendly instrumentation in myelomeningocele patients with gibbus: growing rod versus luque trolley. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2015;35(8):e98-103.
40. Margalit A, Sponseller PD. Myelokyphectomy in Spina Bifida: the modified fackler or sagittal shilla technique. *Operative Techniques in Orthopedics*. 2016;26(4):222-228.
41. Hopson B, Rocque BG, Joseph DB, Powell D, McLain ABJ, Davis RD, et al. The development of a lifetime care model in comprehensive Spina Bifida care. *Journal of Pediatric Rehabilitation Medicine*. 2018;11(4):323-334.
42. Vankoski S, Moore C, Statler KD, Sarwark JF, Dias L. The influence of forearm crutches on pelvic and hip kinematics in children with myelomeningocele: don't throw away the crutches. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1997;39(9):614-619.